minimum is reached at a rather higher pressure. Sodium and potassium behave rather differently from the others: for these two metals K increases rather slowly after the minimum has been reached. Nevertheless it seems that in all these metals we are seeing the effect on K of progressive distortion of the Fermi surface.

## Pressure Coefficients and

## Thermoelectric Power

At high temperatures (temperatures which are large as compared to the characteristic temperature of the lattice) the absolute thermoelectric power of a metal S is related to its resistivity by the following relationship (23):

$$S = -\frac{\pi^2 k^2 T}{3eE_F} \left(\frac{d \ln \rho(E)}{d \ln E}\right)_E = E_F$$
(9)

(k is Boltzmann's constant and e is the electronic charge). This relationship (24) expresses the fact that the thermoelectric power of a metal depends on how the resistivity of the metal varies with its Fermi energy, and from the measured values of the thermoelectric power of a metal at high temperature it is thus possible to obtain a measure of this variation through the quantity

$$x = \left(\frac{d \ln \rho(E)}{d \ln E}\right)_E = E_A$$

One way of altering the Fermi energy of a metal is to compress it. Thus there should be some relationship between the volume coefficient of resistivity and the value of x for that metal. It is not to be expected that x will be related to the total change of resistance due to the volume change because this involves the change in the amplitude of the lattice vibrations, which has no counterpart in x. If, however, we eliminate the part due to changes in the lattice vibrations and consider  $d \ln K/d \ln V$ , we might expect that this would be related to x. In Table 2 a comparison of these quantities is made for the monovalent metals, and the ratios are listed (column 6). If the change in Kwith volume were due entirely to the

change in the Fermi energy  $E_F$  with volume and if the Fermi surface did not distort under pressure, this ratio would be simply  $d \ln E_F/d \ln V$ . For a spherical Fermi surface this has the value -2/3, since  $E_F$  is proportional to  $V^{-2/3}$ . It may be seen that for all the metals the value lies between -0.3and -0.8; in particular for sodium and potassium, the two metals whose Fermi surfaces are most nearly spherical, the value of the ratio is quite close to -2/3.

If the interpretation given above of the minimum in the resistance-versuspressure curve of cesium is correct, and if the thermoelectric power is intimately related to the quantity  $d \ln K/d \ln V$ , the thermoelectric power of cesium should be very sensitive to pressure and should in fact change sign at quite modest pressures (pressures similar to that required to reduce the resistance to its minimum value). Recent measurements on the thermoelectric power of cesium at 0°C (25) show that this change of sign does indeed occur and that the thermoelectric power of cesium is extremely sensitive to pressure; it changes by nearly 1/2 percent per atmosphere.

To sum up, we may say that the pressure coefficient of the ideal resistivity of a metal changes appreciably only at low temperatures  $(T < \theta/3)$ ; moreover, experiments show that this change is related to the change in the temperature coefficient of resistivity in the way that theory predicts. There appears to be a close connection between the electronic contribution to the pressure coefficient of resistance on the one hand and the thermoelectric power of the metal on the other. When one comes to consider the magnitude of the pressure coefficient it is clear that in some metals, notably lithium, cesium, and the noble metals, this can only be understood in terms of the distortion of the Fermi surface of the metal. This distortion is also reflected in the temperature dependence and the magnitude of the resistivity. All this emphasizes how desirable it would be to obtain direct information about the shape of the Fermi surfaces in alkali metals (26).

## References and Notes

- See, for example, the review by D. K. ( MacDonald in *Handbuch der Physik*, Flugge, Ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1956), vol. 1 p. 137.
- 2. P. W. Bridgman, The Physics of High Pr. sure (Bell, London, 1949).
- G. N. Lewis, Z. physik. Chem. (Leipzig) 13 532 (1927).
- 4. I remember hearing Professor Bridgman scribe how he subjected a raw egg to h pressure. When he examined it afterward appeared as if it had been hard-boiled.
- For a general account of the theory of the trical resistivity, see, for example, J. M. Ziman, *Electrons and Phonons* (Oxford Ut. Press, New York, 1960).
- E. Grüneisen, Ann. Physik. 40, 543 (1941).
  For a survey of recent high-pressure t<sub>fc</sub> niques and measurements see C. A. Swens in Solid State Physics, F. Seitz and D. Tubull, Eds. (Academic Press, New York, 196 vol. 11, p. 41.
- J. S. Dugdale and J. A. Hulbert, Can. Phys. 35, 720 (1957).
- 9. J. S. Dugdale and D. Gugan, Proc. Roy. 5. (London) A241, 397 (1957).
- 10. J. Hatton, Phys. Rev. 100, 681 (1955).
- 11. C. A. Swenson, ibid. 99, 423 (1955).
- 12. J. S. Dugdale and F. E. Simon, Proc. R. Soc. (London) A218, 291 (1953).
- 13. J. S. Dugdale and D. Gugan, in preparati
- 0n is the Debye temperature which characizes the temperature dependence of specific heat.
- For simplicity the discussion is restricted body-centered and face-centered cubic lattiwhich are Bravais lattices.
- A. B. Pippard, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A250, 325 (1957); D. Shoenberg, *Phil. M.* 5, 105 (1960); R. W. Morse and J. Gavenda, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 2, 250 (195)
   R. W. Morse et al., *ibid.* 4, 605 (1960).

17. M. H. Cohen and V. Heine, Advances Physics (Phil. Mag. Suppl.) 7, 395 (199

- F. S. Ham, in *The Fermi Surface*, W. Harrison and M. B. Webb, Eds. (Wiley, WYork, 1960).
- J. Collins and J. M. Ziman, in preparati J. Collins, in preparation. I am indebted Dr. Collins for information about this we before publication.
- 20.  $\theta_o$  is the limiting value of the Debye  $\theta$  the absolute zero of temperature.
- 21. Even under compression the Fermi surf. must continue to occupy the same proport of the Brillouin zone (here, one-half), therefore, the shape of the surface does the change under compression, the geometry k-space of the scattering processes is the changed.
- 22. P. W. Bridgman, Proc. Am. Acad. Arts 81, 169 (1952); 76, 55 (1948).
- N. F. Mott and H. Jones, The Theory of Properties of Metals and Alloys (On Univ. Press, New York, 1936).
- 24. This expression ignores any phonon c effects.
- 25. J. S. Dugdale and J. N. Mundy, *Phil. M:* in press.
- 26. It is a pleasure to acknowledge the collabilition of Dr. D. Gugan in much of the exponent of the exponent of the the described here. I am the grateful to Dr. D. K. C. MacDonald for encouragement and interest at all times r wish to thank him and Dr. A. V. Gold many valuable discussions and for reading manuscript.
- W. Meissner, in Handbuch der Experimenphysik, W. Wien and F. Harms, Eds. (A demische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 19 vol. 11, pt. 2, p. 1.

In Augus appeared ir attacks dur under whic gest what r reduce risk' our studies "Shark Att; sponsored b the Office Smithsonian University, expanded.

When me search Pane tute of Biolo of a shark world, an a documentatic doctors, hos scientists, an requesting it details of th who are will plying docur tack File" i Leonard P. Fishes, Smith ington, D.C. ing file is ma of the Sha Perry W. Gil Ithaca, New

In our ar recommendat advice to the of dangerous add to our ac SCUBA dive vivors of air

## Kinds of Att

We have c attacks in th Institution, a tacks (2) an categories. Ti

L

Worldwid